

Unit one: Modern linguistics (European structuralism)

Lesson 02: Structuralism- A linguistics Theory

1. Emergence of European Structural linguistics (GENEVA SCHOOL)

STRUCTURAL LINGUISTICS IS an approach to LINGUISTICS which treats language as an interwoven structure, in which every item acquires identity and validity only in relation to the other items in the system. All linguistics in the 20th century is structural in this sense, as opposed to much work in the 19th century, when it was common to trace the history of individual words. Structuralism attempted to lay down a rigorous methodology for the analysis of any language. In Europe, Saussure influenced:

- (1) the Geneva School of Albert Sechehaye and Charles Bally,
- (2) the Prague School of Roman Jakobson and Nikolai Trubetzkoy, whose work would prove hugely influential, particularly concerning phonology,
- (3) the Copenhagen School of Louis Hjelmslev, and
- (4) the Paris School of Algirdas Julien Greimas. Structural linguistics also had an influence on other disciplines in Europe, including anthropology, psychoanalysis and Marxism, bringing about the movement known as structuralism

2. Structuralism as a linguistic Theory

The Oxford Advanced Learner's Dictionary states that structuralism in literature and language is a method which concentrates on the structure of system and the relations between its elements, rather than on the individual elements themselves.

David Crystal (1980) said in his dictionary that structuralism is a term used in linguistics referring to any approach to the analysis that pays explicit attention to the way in which linguistic features can be described in terms of structures and systems.

Sanders (2006) said that structuralism was a school of thought or a method which for several decades of the second half of twentieth century dominated some disciplines such in linguistics, literary criticism, anthropology, film and media criticism, to mention but a few, and which had a strong impact on others, from psychology and philosophy to economics.

3. FERDINAND DE SAUSSURE



Ferdinand de Saussure was born on November 26th, 1857 at Geneva, Switzerland, from French Protestant family who emigrated from Lorraine when the religion war on the end of 16th century. His language talent had been shown up since he was a child.

- In the beginning, he learned physics and alchemy at Geneva University as his family tradition, and then he learned linguistic at Leipzig from 1878 until 1879.
- In this university, he learned from great linguists that time, Brugmann and Hubschmann. In 1897, when he was 21 or two years before he achieved the doctor title, he proved that he was a brilliant historical linguist.
- His work under the title 'Memoire sur le Systeme Primitive des Voyelles dans les Langues Indo Europennes' (The notes about ancient vowel system in Indo-European) was the proof of his talent. In such young age, de Saussure was already viewed as a great figure in historical linguistics.
- Many linguists also appeared and used his ideas as the approach in linguistics, such as Leonard Bloomfield, Charles Francis Hocke, Andre Martinet, Edward Sapir, and many more.

4. De Saussure' Definition of Language

In de Saussure's Course in General Linguistics, a book that summarizes his lectures at the University of Geneva from 1906 to 1911, he explained the relationship between speech and the evolution of language, investigating language as a self contained system. For him:

"A language is a system in which all the elements fit together, and which the value of any one element depends on the simultaneous coexistence of all the others.

5. De Saussure's Language Characteristics

1. Language is a well-defined object in the heterogeneous mass of speech facts. It can be localized in the limited segment of the speaking-circuit where an auditory image becomes associated with a concept, it also the social side of speech, outside the individual who can never create nor modify it by himself.

2. Language is something that can be studied separately. Even dead languages are no longer spoken; its linguistic organism can be easily assimilated.


3. Whereas speech is heterogeneous, language is homogeneous. It is a system of signs in which the only essential thing is the union of meanings and sound-images.

4. Language is concrete, no less so than speaking; and this is a help in our study of it.

6. Its Influence

Saussure's ideas were consonant with his compatriots such as Claude-Lévi Strauss and Emile Durkheim, pioneer of new field of sociology. Saussure's influence spread all through the new social sciences in the early and mid-twentieth century, and ultimately, to literary theory and modern cultural studies. They still exert a very strong intellectual force in all these disciplines (probably most in Linguistics and the disciplines most influenced by literary theory such as traditional Anthropology, Sociology and Psychology)

7. Some concepts proposed by de Saussure Approach (dichotomies)



1. Synchronic versus Diachronic		
<p style="text-align: center;">Synchronic:</p> <p>the description of a language at a particular point or period in time E.g the modern system of modern English</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Diachronic:</p> <p>the documentation and explanation of linguistic change E.g. the change in sound system of English from old English to modern English</p>	
2. signifier versus signified		
<p>Signifier</p> <p>The linguistic sign, according to de Saussure, consists of two inseparable parts: a sound sequence, called signifier (French <i>signifiant</i>),</p>	<p>signified</p> <p>a concept, called signified (French <i>signifié</i>) The signified is what these visible/audible aspects mean to us.</p>	
<p>The association between the two parts of a linguistic sign, is arbitrary, but conventional.</p>		
3. Langage, Langue and Parole		
<p style="text-align: center;">Langage:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>Language in general</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The most abstract concept of means of communication using verbal signs, both in written and spoken form. - This concept doesn't refer to any particular languages in the world. <p>The ideal form of language. Human's possession</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Langue :</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>A particular language</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The system of language, that is the arrangement of sounds and words which speakers of a language have a shared language of or 'agree to use' - Referring to any particular languages, such as English, French, Javanese etc. - Language as social possession - The most significant concept because the use of langue always relates to particular societies. 	<p style="text-align: center;">Parole :</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>The language of an individual</u></p> <p>The actual use of language by people in speech or writing</p> <p>The language of an individual</p> <p>Examples: my language, yours, somebody else's</p>
4. Descriptive grammar vs. Prescriptive grammar		
<p style="text-align: center;">Descriptive grammar:</p> <p>The systematic study and description of a language. Descriptive grammar refers to the structure of a language as it is actually used by speakers and writers.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Prescriptive grammar:</p> <p>A set of rules and examples dealing with the syntax and word structures of a language, usually intended as an aid to the learning of that language. Prescriptive grammar refers to the structure of a language as certain people think it <i>should be</i> used. (Khedr, 2014)</p>	
5. Syntagmatic vs. paradigmatic relations		
<p style="text-align: center;">Syntagmatic relation</p> <p>is a type of semantic relations between words that co-occur in the same sentence or text (Asher, 1994).</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Paradigmatic relation</p> <p>is a different type of semantic relations between words that can be substituted with another word in the same categories (HjØrland, 2014).</p>	