



page fault = 9 , page fault rate =  $9/24 = 37\%$

**c. LRU (with 4 frames)**

Requests: **1 3 5 4 2 4 3 2 1 0 5 3 5 0 4 3 5 4 3 2 1 3 4 5**

Page 1:	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3		
Page 2:		3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	1	1	1	1	
Page 3:			5	5	5	5	5	5	1	1	1	1	1	1	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	
Page 4:				4	4	4	4	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	5

page fault = 13; page fault rate =  $13/24 = 54\%$

**d. Optimal (with 4 frames)**

Requests: **1 3 5 4 2 4 3 2 1 0 5 3 5 0 4 3 5 4 3 2 1 3 4 5**

Page 1:	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	1	1
Page 2:		3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Page 3:			5	5	2	2	2	2	2	2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Page 4:				4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4

page fault = 9, page fault rate =  $9/24 = 37\%$

2. What is the key message of Belady's anomaly?

FIFO result in worse results for certain access sequences with a bigger memory.

3. Why is it impossible to implement the optimal replacement strategy?

Because it is not possible to predict the future and therefore the future request sequence is unknown.